

Mathematics Department Stanford University
Math 51H – Product rule

The product rule for real valued functions is a good exercise in differentiability. The proof given below is not streamlined (to minimize the number of estimates); part of the point is to show that one need not have a clever idea in order to get the conclusion.

Theorem 1 *Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open, $a \in U$, $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ differentiable at a . Then fg is differentiable at a , with $D(fg)(a) = g(a)(Df)(a) + f(a)(Dg)(a)$.*

Note that here $Df(a), Dg(a), D(fg)(a) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof: Suppose $B_\rho(a) \subset U$, $\rho > 0$; below all δ s will be taken $< \rho$ even if not explicitly stated.

By the definition of the differentiability of f at a , for any $\varepsilon_f > 0$ there is $\delta_f > 0$ such that

$$\|h\| < \delta_f \Rightarrow f(a+h) = f(a) + (Df)(a)h + R_f(a, h), \quad |R_f(a, h)| \leq \varepsilon_f \|h\|.$$

By the definition of the differentiability of g at a , for any $\varepsilon_g > 0$ there is $\delta_g > 0$ such that

$$\|h\| < \delta_g \Rightarrow g(a+h) = g(a) + (Dg)(a)h + R_g(a, h), \quad |R_g(a, h)| \leq \varepsilon_g \|h\|.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (fg)(a+h) &= f(a+h)g(a+h) = (f(a) + (Df)(a)h + R_f(a, h))(g(a) + (Dg)(a)h + R_g(a, h)) \\ &= f(a)g(a) + (f(a)(Dg)(a) + g(a)(Df)(a))h + R_{fg}(a, h), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{fg}(a, h) &= f(a)R_g(a, h) + g(a)R_f(a, h) + ((Df)(a)h)((Dg)(a)h) \\ &\quad + ((Df)(a)h)R_g(a, h) + R_f(a, h)((Dg)(a)h) + R_f(a, h)R_g(a, h). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem thus follows if we show that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|h\| < \delta$ implies $|R_{fg}(a, h)| \leq \varepsilon \|h\|$. To show this, let's make each of the six terms $\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \|h\|$.

First, let $\delta_1 > 0$ be δ_g in the definition of differentiability of g corresponding to $\varepsilon_g = \frac{\varepsilon}{6(|f(a)|+1)} > 0$. Then for $\|h\| < \delta_1$,

$$|f(a)R_g(a, h)| \leq |f(a)| \frac{\varepsilon}{6(|f(a)|+1)} \|h\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \|h\|,$$

as desired. Similarly, let $\delta_2 > 0$ be $\delta_f > 0$ in the definition of the differentiability of f corresponding to $\varepsilon_f = \frac{\varepsilon}{6(|g(a)|+1)} > 0$; then $\|h\| < \delta_2$ gives

$$|g(a)R_f(a, h)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \|h\|.$$

Next,

$$|((Df)(a)h)((Dg)(a)h)| = |(Df)(a)h| |(Dg)(a)h| \leq \|(Df)(a)\| \|h\| \|(Dg)(a)\| \|h\|,$$

so with $\delta_3 = \frac{\varepsilon}{6\|(Df)(a)\|\|(Dg)(a)\|+1}$, $\|h\| < \delta_3$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} |((Df)(a)h)((Dg)(a)h)| &\leq \|(Df)(a)\| \|(Dg)(a)\| \|h\| \|h\| \\ &\leq \|(Df)(a)\| \|(Dg)(a)\| \frac{\varepsilon}{6(\|(Df)(a)\|\|(Dg)(a)\|+1)} \|h\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \|h\|. \end{aligned}$$

For the fourth term, $((Df)(a)h)R_g(a, h)$, we have

$$|((Df)(a)h)R_g(a, h)| \leq \|Df(a)\| \|h\| |R_g(a, h)|,$$

so this will be bounded by a small multiple of $\|h\|$ if we just make $R_g(a, h)$ small: so let δ_g be given by the differentiability of g at a with $\varepsilon_g = 1$, and let $\delta_4 = \min(\delta_g, \frac{\varepsilon}{6(\|Df(a)\|+1)})$, so for $\|h\| < \delta_4$, and thus in particular $\|h\| < \delta_g$, $|R_g(a, h)| \leq \|h\|$, and

$$|(Df)(a)h)R_g(a, h)| \leq \|Df(a)\| \|h\| \|h\| \leq \|Df(a)\| \frac{\varepsilon}{6(\|Df(a)\|+1)} \|h\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \|h\|,$$

as desired. Note that we had quite a bit we could give up here: we only needed to use $\varepsilon_g = 1$ (and not some small quantity depending on ε) in the definition of the differentiability of g . Reversing the roles of f and g gives $\delta_5 > 0$ such that $\|h\| < \delta_5$ implies

$$|(Dg)(a)hR_f(a, h)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6}\|h\|.$$

Finally, taking $\varepsilon_f = \varepsilon_g = 1$ in the definition of differentiability of f, g gives $\delta_f, \delta_g > 0$ such that $\|h\| < \min(\delta_f, \delta_g)$ gives $|R_f(a, h)| \leq \|h\|$, $|R_g(a, h)| \leq \|h\|$, and thus

$$|R_f(a, h)R_g(a, h)| \leq \|h\|^2.$$

Letting finally $\delta_6 = \min(\delta_f, \delta_g, \frac{\varepsilon}{6})$ gives that for $\|h\| < \delta_6$,

$$|R_f(a, h)R_g(a, h)| \leq \|h\|^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6}\|h\|.$$

In combination, with $\delta = \min\{\delta_j : j = 1, \dots, 6\}$,

$$\|h\| < \delta \Rightarrow |R_{fg}(a, h)| \leq \varepsilon\|h\|,$$

completing the proof. \square

A somewhat more streamlined version would use the standard rewriting

$$f(a+h)g(a+h) - f(a)g(a) = f(a+h)(g(a+h) - g(a)) + (f(a+h) - f(a))g(a),$$

and use the definition of differentiability of g , resp. f , in the two terms, as well as $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(a+h) = f(a)$ since differentiability implies continuity.